

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/645,766 | 08/22/2003 | Wanthida Kentner | | 8051 |
| 7590 11/08/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Wanthida & Robert S. Kentner | | | BALDWIN, GORDON R | |
| 289 South Furle Lafayette, IN | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | |
| | | | 1775 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | , | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 10/645,766 | KENTNER ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Gordon R. Baldwin | 1775 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au | <u>ugust 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | B)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | | |
| Attach | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen 1) Notice | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, is drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 17.
- II. Claim 2, drawn to processes of manufacture, classified in class 140, subclass 92.3

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the article, of invention I, can be made by a different process not requiring bended wires running form the base of the loop to any other part of the loop inside the loop to provide support for the upper layer of the fabric and/or the separation from the lower layer, and also to create cavity formation inside the loop.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon R. Baldwin whose telephone number is (571)272-5166. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah D. Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GRB

JENNIFER MONEIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/3/05